

Message

---

**From:** Braley, Susan (ECY) [SUBR461@ECY.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** 7/2/2014 6:03:51 PM  
**To:** Szlag, Matthew [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f1e48230d96943f8acb72810e32ce8d6-Szlag, Matthew]  
**Subject:** RE: Compliance Schedule Rule-DRAFT 5-14-14.docx

Hi Matt—Good news on my end...Cheryl had my notes from the meeting we had! So, I am going to be working on the compliance schedule language per our discussion. When we get the chance, let's talk and you can offer any other additional comments or edits (per your note below). If this doesn't happen today it will have to wait until I'm back in the office next Wednesday, but I'm not too worried now since I found my notes from the initial meeting.

Thanks--Susan

---

**From:** Szlag, Matthew [mailto:Szlag.Matthew@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 11, 2014 11:49 AM  
**To:** Braley, Susan (ECY)  
**Subject:** RE: Compliance Schedule Rule-DRAFT 5-14-14.docx

Hi Susan,

I know you're still out on vacation, but I wanted to let you know that we have a few relatively minor suggestions in addition to our discussion last week. I thought it might be good to have quick conversation when you're back to discuss them so you can think about incorporating them into the next draft version.

Please give me a call when you have a chance after you return to the office. Hope you had a good time in Alaska.

Thanks!

---

Matthew Szlag | Water Quality Standards Coordinator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10  
1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, OWW-131 | Seattle, WA 98101  
P: (206) 553.5171 | [szlag.matthew@epa.gov](mailto:szlag.matthew@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Braley, Susan (ECY) [mailto:SUBR461@ECY.WA.GOV]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 19, 2014 10:23 AM  
**To:** Chung, Angela; Szlag, Matthew  
**Subject:** Compliance Schedule Rule-DRAFT 5-14-14.docx

Matt & Angela—We have been working on draft rule language for “compliance schedules”. I have attached the latest. You'll see that it is in green underline and ~~red-strikeout~~ for proposed new language and revisions. In essence, it removes the time limit of 10 years and then includes a new section where we have a TMDL to match the legislation that passed a few years ago. We are choosing not to have a lot of new detail in the standards, but are referencing WAC 173-220-140 which has specific requirements for schedules of compliance for NPDES permits.

We have not yet tried to add language to split out compliance schedules for aquatic life criteria. I'm not quite sure what it would have to apply to – just the new section on TMDLs? I thought I would ask you to look at the revisions and determine if it truly would be a standards change that would require ESA consultation, as opposed to language for state implementation of the standards (that seems to be the delineation that EPA uses for determining if it is a standard that needs EPA approval). If you think it is a “standards” change, then can you clarify where we would need to split out aquatic life in order to allow the human health criteria revisions to be able to move forward without having to go through ESA consultation?

Thanks—Call if questions or you want to discuss—

Susan